



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,218	02/26/2002	Yoshihiro Seiki	2936-0149P	2617
2292 7	590 06/01/2004		EXAM	INER
Direction .	WART KOLASCH &	TRAN, TUAN A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111220 011011	,		2682	6
		DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ;						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/082,218	SEIKI, YOSHIHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan A Tran	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet v	viun the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOs, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2002.					
,	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have bee nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.5. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Murat (GB 2327572) in view of Chao-Cheng (5,991,643).

Regarding claims 1, 5-7 and 9-11, Murat discloses a mobile communication device 1 wherein the mobile communication device 1 is a cellular telephone device (See fig. 1 and page 1 first paragraph) comprising: a non-directional antenna 2; a directional antenna 3; a transmitter/receiver section; a switch 6 for switching states of connection between the non-directional and directional antennas 2, 3 and the transmitter/receiver section (See fig. 2 and page 4 sixth paragraph); and a control circuit wherein when operation other than voice communication is being performed (free-standing position), the switch connects the non-directional antenna to the transmitter/receiver section; and when voice communication is being performed (talk position), the switch connects the directional antenna to the transmitter/receiver section (See fig. 2 and Abstract, pages 4-5). However, Murat does not mention that the switch being controlled to connect non-directional antenna when the received signal is lower than a predetermined level, and to connect to the directional antenna when the received signal is higher or equal to the

Art Unit: 2682

predetermined level. Since Murat suggests to use directive antenna in talk position to minimize radiation from the antenna to the user's head and to increase the gain of the antenna effectively (See page 2, second paragraph) and Chao-Chen teaches a mobile radio transceiver 11 (See fig. 1) comprising a plurality of antenna 14-17 and a switching circuit S4-S7 wherein the switching circuit being controlled to connect to a particular antenna based on a predetermined signal level (See figs. 2-3 and col. 2 line 36 to col. 3 line 23); therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Chao-Chen to control the switch connecting to directional antenna when the mobile communication device is in talk position and the received signal level higher or equal to a predetermined level or to non-directional antenna when the mobile communication device is in free-standing position and the received signal level lower than a predetermined level for the advantage of minimizing radiation to the user's head as well as radiating power more effectively.

Claims 2-4 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1, 5-7 and 911.

Regarding claims 8 and 12. Murat & Chao-Chen discloses as cited in claims 6 and 10. However, they do not mention that the mobile communication device comprises a loudspeaker and a touch sensor, wherein the switch connects to directional antenna when the touch sensor is sensing touch and connects to non-directional antenna when the touch sensor is not sensing touch. Since Murat suggests an proximity sensor that is capable of sensing when the headset is placed next to the head to control the switch connecting to directional antenna or when the headset is moved

Art Unit: 2682

away from the head to control the switch connecting to non-directional antenna, and further mobile phone having loudspeaker and touch sensor are well known in the art; therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a loudspeaker in order to allow user to conduct voice communication in even free-standing mode and a touch sensor for the advantage of expanding the capability of the communication device to various types of sensor in

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

order to switch the device to directional or non-directional antenna effectively.

• Chiba et al. (US 6,711,382).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4255**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2682

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tuan Tran

AU 2682

LEE NGUYEN PRIMARY EYAMING